arXiv:1609.00741v1 [physics.class-ph] 19 Aug 2016

A Conic Section Approach to the Relativistic Reflection Law

Mohsen Maesumi (maesumi@gmail.com)
Lamar University, Beaumont, Texas 77710, USA

(Dated: September 6, 2016)

We consider the reflection of light, from a stationary source, off of a uniformly moving flat mirror, and derive the relativistic reflection law using well-known properties of conic sections. The effective surface of reflection (ESR) is defined as the loci of intersection of all beams, emanating from the source at a given time, with the moving mirror. Fermat principle of least time is then applied to ESR and it is shown that, assuming the independence of speed of light, the result is identical with the relativistic reflection law. For a uniformly moving mirror ESR is a conic and the reflection law becomes a case of bi-angular equation of the conic, with the incident and reflected beams coinciding with the focal rays of the conic. A short calculus-based proof for accelerating mirrors is also given.

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of the reflection of light from a moving mirror has been a fundamental theme since the inception of relativity. In particular the reflection law was obtained using the Lorentz transformation[1] (p. 915) as

$$\cos\phi''' = -\frac{(1+(\frac{v}{V})^2)\cos\phi - 2\frac{v}{V}}{1-2\frac{v}{V}\cos\phi+(\frac{v}{V})^2}, \tag{1}$$

where V is the speed of light in vacuum, v is velocity of mirror, ϕ is the angle of incidence and ϕ''' is the angle of reflection. The angles and the mirror velocity are measured with respect to the same axis normal to the mirror.

There are derivations of the reflection law without using the Lorentz transformation[2], however here we focus on a geometric approach using well-known properties of conic sections.

Consider a ray of light that is emanated from a point source at position F at time τ and reaches a second point G after reflection from the moving mirror. Fermat principle requires the trajectory to be such that it minimizes the travel time over all paths. These paths start at F at τ and bend at the point of intersection with the moving mirror before reaching G. This prompts the following definition:

Definition 1 (ESR)**.** The effective surface of reflection, ESR(τ), is the loci of the intersection of all beams, emanating from the stationary source at a given time τ, with the moving mirror.

We propose to derive the relativistic reflection law by applying Fermat principle to ESR.

Definition 2 (Fermat Principle)**.** If a ray of light is emanated from a point source at a position F at time τ and reaches a second point G after reflection from a mirror then the path it takes is the one minimizing the time from F to ESR(τ) to G.

To measure the time of flight we need the speed of light before and after the incidence. The second principle of relativity states that the speed of light in vacuum is independent of the movement of its source. In particular the incident and reflected beam travel at the same speed in vacuum. Now we are ready to state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. *If the speed of the reflected beam is same as the incident beam then the reflection angle calculated by the Fermat principle is same as the one predicted by the special theory of relativity.*

ESR, for a flat mirror moving at a constant velocity v, is a conic. The location of the mirror at the time of emanation is the linear directrix, the source is a focus, and the ratio of speed of light

to the speed of the mirror is the eccentricity. Hence the incident and the reflected rays coincide with the focal rays of the conic. The reflection law then turns out, in fact, to be the bi-angular equation[4] of the conic. We offer two proofs, one using basic geometry, for a uniformly moving mirror, and another, using calculus, for accelerating mirrors. The Lorentz transformation is not used.

The solution presented is applicable to any signal bouncing from a moving boundary so long as the reflected signal has the same speed as the incident signal. While this is standard in relativity it may also occur in non-relativistic classical cases. The final section of paper discusses some of the physics aspects of the problem. We assume the source resides in vacuum or an isotropic stationary medium. In the latter case we consider a thought experiment where the movement of the mirror does not cause any motion or turbulence in the medium. The speed of light in the medium in any direction and from any source is the same constant.

II. REFLECTION FROM A UNIFORMLY MOVING MIRROR

Consider a stationary source of light at $F = (0, 0)$, and a mirror, parallel to the y-axis, that moves in the direction of x-axis with a uniform velocity of v and at time t is located at $x(t) = vt+x_0$. At time τ the source emits a ray of light at an angle of i. We find r, the angle of reflection from the mirror using Fermat principle and compare it with (1).

The comparison of the speed of light (or signal) in the medium, c, with the speed of the mirror $|v|$ results in three cases: a conventional hyperbolic case when $|v| < c$, a hypothetical elliptic case when $|v| > c$, and a threshold parabolic case when $|v| = c$.

A. The Hyperbolic Case

In this case the speed of light in the given medium is more than that of the mirror, $c > |v| > 0$. See Figure 1. Let the incident beam strike the mirror at H (H_+ when $v > 0$ and H_- when $v < 0$). We show that H resides on a branch of a certain hyperbola (depending on $x(\tau)$ and v/c) with F as a focus; and identify the secondary focus F'. The incident beam FH will reflect so that the reflection coincides with the extension of the secondary focal ray $F'H$. As if the light reflected from the hyperbola or that it emanated from an image at F'.

Let the particle of light leave the source at τ, when the mirror is at $x_\tau = v\tau + x_0$, and strike the mirror at time T, at the point $H = (x, y)$. Show the length of FH by $|FH| = \ell$. Then $\ell = c(T - \tau)$



FIG. 1. The two branches of a semi-hyperbola, the one on the left corresponds to an initially approaching mirror with $\beta = -0.5$ and the one on the right corresponds to a receding mirror with $\beta = +0.5$. The location of mirror at the emanation of light is $x = x_\tau$. Light emanates from F and for an incidence angle of $i = 0.7$ the points of incidence H_- and H_+ with the mirrors are depicted. The tangent at H_- and the reflection of F in the tangent, namely point K_- on the right circular directrix, are drawn. Fermat principle appears as $G_-H_-K_-F'$ being a straight line. All incident and reflected rays travel to the left of the mirror even when i or r_+ are obtuse.

and $x - x_\tau = v(T - \tau)$. Therefore $(x - x_\tau)/\ell = v/c$. Let $\beta = v/c$ and note that $0 < |\beta| < 1$, then the loci of such points H, for all incident angles i, is a branch of a hyperbola.

Specifically, let $\alpha = \sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$, $a = |\beta x_\tau|/\alpha^2$, $b = |x_\tau|/\alpha$, $d = x_\tau/\alpha^2$, then the equation of the hyperbola is $(x - d)^2/a^2 - y^2/b^2 = 1$ with center $(d, 0)$, asymptotes $y = \pm(\alpha/\beta)(x - d)$ at an angle of $\arccos(\pm\beta)$, vertices $(x_\tau/(1 \pm \beta), 0)$, focal length $\bar{c} = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} = |x_\tau|/\alpha^2$, eccentricity $e = \bar{c}/a = 1/|\beta|$, linear directrix $x = x_\tau$, source focus $F = (0, 0)$, and image focus $F' = (2x_\tau/\alpha^2, 0)$.

(In what follows we assume the left side of the mirror is reflective, and require $x_\tau > 0$. The right branch of the hyperbola then corresponds to a receding mirror, $v > 0$, and the left branch

corresponds to an initially approaching mirror, $v < 0$. The incidence angle i is the angle of FH with the focal axis FF' or the x axis. In this section the reflection angle r is defined as the angle of $F'H$ with $F'F$, or $-x$, to conform with the pedagogical convention, where, for a stationary mirror, $r = i$. In[1], both angles are measured with respect to the x axis, and ϕ''' in that derivation is equal to $\pi - r$ in this section. Hence $\cos\phi''' = -\cos r$.)

The reflection law is a description of the hyperbola in terms of i and r (and a parameter such as the eccentricity). One way to obtain this law is to solve the triangle $F'FH$ by following the steps of its geometric construction. Assume we are on the right branch. We know one side, $|FF'| = 2\bar{c}$, the difference of the other sides, $|FH| - |F'H| = 2a$, and the angle $\measuredangle F'FH = i$. The construction is possible if $\cos i > a/\bar{c}$. To construct the triangle draw the ray FW with $\measuredangle F'FW = i$ and $|FW| = 2a$. To find H build the isosceles triangle $F'WH$ with base $F'W$ and side WH as the extension of FW.

To calculate the reflection angle $r = \measuredangle FF'H$ let $j = \measuredangle FWF'$, $k = \measuredangle WF'F$, and $2w = |F'W|$. Apply laws of cosines and sines to the triangle FWF' to get $\cos j = (a^2 + w^2 - \bar{c}^2)/2aw$, $\cos k = (\bar{c}^2 + w^2 - a^2)/2\bar{c}w$, $\sin j = (\bar{c}/w)\sin i$, $\sin k = (a/w)\sin i$. We have $r = \pi - j + k$ therefore $\cos r = -(\cos j \cos k + \sin j \sin k)$. Substituting $w^2 = a^2 + \bar{c}^2 - 2a\bar{c}\cos i$ and simplifying gives the relativistic reflection formula

$$\cos r = \frac{(\beta^2+1)\cos i - 2\beta}{(\beta^2+1) - 2\beta\cos i}. \tag{2}$$

The same result applies to the left branch of the hyperbola for which β is negative. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

While (2) is the common form of the relativistic reflection law, other forms are possible. For example, in the triangle $F'FH$ let $\ell = |FH|$ and $\ell' = |F'H|$, and use the law of sines $\ell/\sin r = \ell'/\sin i = 2\bar{c}/\sin(\pi - i - r)$ to substitute for ℓ and ℓ' in $\ell - \ell' = 2a$. This results in

$$\sin r - \sin i = \beta \sin(r + i), \tag{3}$$

or equivalently

$$\sin(r - i)/2 = \beta \sin(r + i)/2, \tag{4}$$

where $(r - i)/2$ is the angle between the normals to the mirror and to the hyperbola, and $(r + i)/2$ is the angle between the incident or the reflected ray and the normal to the hyperbola.

Similarly, if we define the angle $z \in (0, \pi)$ as the value of r when $i = \pi/2$, i.e. through $\cos z = -2\beta/(1 + \beta^2)$, then (2) reads $\cos r = (\cos i + \cos z)/(1 + \cos i \cos z)$. The latter can

be written as $[(1-\cos r)/(1+\cos r)] = [(1-\cos z)/(1+\cos z)][(1-\cos i)/(1+\cos i)]$ and since $(1-\cos x)/(1+\cos x) = \tan^2(x/2)$ one gets

$$\tan(r/2) = \tan(z/2)\tan(i/2). \tag{5}$$

B. The Elliptic Case

Now we consider the case where the mirror moves faster than light in the given medium, $|v| > c$. (A discussion on physical plausibility appears in the last section.) We will see that here the reflected beam of light is on the same side of the normal to the mirror as the incident beam. Another oddity is that the image is on the same side of the mirror as the source and is real.

See Figure 2. Assume the incident beam strikes the mirror at H (the ray with the angle i at H_- and the ray with the angle $\pi - i$ at H_+), we show that H resides on a certain ellipse with F as a focus, and identify the secondary focus F'. The incident beam FH will reflects from the mirror along the secondary focal ray HF'. As if the light reflected from the ellipse.

At the moment of emanation of light the mirror should be moving toward the source (otherwise light can not catch up to the mirror). Henceforth we assume $x_\tau > 0$ and $v < 0$. Using the same set up as in the hyperbolic case we arrive at $(x - x_\tau)/\ell = v/c = \beta < -1$. The loci of such points H, for all incident angles i, is an ellipse.

Specifically, let $\alpha = \sqrt{\beta^2 - 1}$, $a = -\beta x_\tau/\alpha^2$, $b = x_\tau/\alpha$, $d = -x_\tau/\alpha^2$, then the equation of the ellipse is $(x-d)^2/a^2 + y^2/b^2 = 1$ with center $(d, 0)$, major axis vertices at $(x_\tau/(1 \pm \beta), 0)$, focal length $\bar{c} = \sqrt{a^2 - b^2} = x_\tau/\alpha^2$, eccentricity $e = \bar{c}/a = -1/\beta$, right linear directrix $x = x_\tau$, right or source focus $F = (0, 0)$, and the left or image focus $F' = (-2x_\tau/\alpha^2, 0)$.

Here we define i as angle of FH with the x axis and r as the angle of HF' with $-x$, or equivalently the angle of $F'H$ with x. To employ a different approach for the derivation of the reflection law we may write the law of cosines for the triangle FHF'. Let $\ell = |FH|$ and $\ell' = |F'H|$. We have $\ell^2 = \ell'^2 + 4\bar{c}^2 - 4\bar{c}\ell'\cos r$ and $\ell'^2 = \ell^2 + 4\bar{c}^2 + 4\bar{c}\ell\cos i$. Subtract these to get $2(\ell^2 - \ell'^2) = 4\bar{c}(\ell\cos i - \ell'\cos r)$, then use the ellipse definition, $\ell + \ell' = 2a$, to obtain $4a(\ell - \ell') = -4\bar{c}(\ell\cos i + \ell'\cos r)$. Now, solve a linear system consisting of the latter equation and $\ell + \ell' = 2a$ to produce $\ell = 2a(a - \bar{c}\cos r)/(2a + \bar{c}\cos i - \bar{c}\cos r)$ and $\ell' = 2a(a + \bar{c}\cos i)/(2a + \bar{c}\cos i - \bar{c}\cos r)$. Substituting these expressions in $|F'F| = 2\bar{c} = \ell'\cos r - \ell\cos i$ and re-arranging produces the same relativistic reflection formula (2), except that now $\beta < -1$.

Note that (2) is invariant under $\beta \to 1/\beta$, therefore there is a correspondence between the left branch of the hyperbola and the ellipse. This shows an interesting property; for a hyperbola and



FIG. 2. The mirror, originally at x_τ, moves at twice the speed of light, $\beta = -2$. The incident ray FH_-, with $i = 0.7$, is reflected as H_-F'. The reflected beam lags behind the moving mirror.

an ellipse that have reciprocal eccentricities the angles i and r match in value. However, note that in the hyperbolic case i and r are both interior angles of FHF' while in the elliptic case i is the exterior and r is the interior angle.

C. The Parabolic Case

In this case the speed of mirror matches the speed of light in the given medium, $|v| = c$. Let $x_\tau > 0$ and $v = -c$ then $\beta = -1$ and H resides on the parabola $2x_\tau x = x_\tau^2 - y^2$ with vertex $(x_\tau/2, 0)$ and focus $F = (0, 0)$. The reflection law is same as before and simplifies to $r = 0$. The reflected beam simply moves horizontally toward left in tandem with the mirror.

III. REFLECTION FROM AN ACCELERATING MIRROR

In this section we will derive (1) for a mirror in an arbitrary rectilinear motion using calculus. We will see that so long as β is defined locally, as the fractional velocity of the mirror at the time of light impact, our conclusion remains valid.

Suppose the location of the mirror at time t is $x = f(t)$. Let τ be the time of emanation of light, T time of impact, (x, y) point of impact, and $\ell = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$. Then $T = \tau + \ell/c$ and the loci of intersection of light with the mirror is given implicitly through

$$x = f(\tau + \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}{c}). \tag{6}$$

This is the effective curve of reflection. Applying Fermat's principle to this curve will produce the reflection formula.

Differentiate the loci curve (6) with respect to x to get $1 = f'(T)(x + yy')/(\ell c)$. Solve this for y' and use $f'(T)/c = \beta$, $x = \ell \cos i$, $y = \ell \sin i$ to get $y' = (1/\beta - \cos i)/\sin i$, where i is the angle of incidence. Now use the fact that the reflection of a vector B in A is given by $C = 2A(B \cdot A)/(A \cdot A) - B$. Find the reflection of the incident beam $B = (\cos i, \sin i)$ in the tangent to the loci curve $A = (1, y')$ to get the reflected beam $C = (\cos r, \sin r)$. This results in

$$\cos r = \frac{2\beta - (\beta^2 + 1)\cos i}{(\beta^2 + 1) - 2\beta \cos i}, \tag{7}$$

$$\sin r = \frac{(1 - \beta^2)\sin i}{(\beta^2 + 1) - 2\beta \cos i}. \tag{8}$$

Note that (7) is identical to (1). A derivation of (8) using relativistic velocity addition formula appears in[3] (p. 7, 57). (Here we measured all angles with respect to the x axis, or else we should set $C = (-\cos r, \sin r)$ to follow the same convention we used for (2).)

IV. THE PHYSICAL ASPECTS OF THE REFLECTION PROBLEM

A. Obtuse angle of incidence or reflection

In the stationary reflection problem with $r = i$ (in the pedagogical notation) both angles are limited to $[0, \pi/2]$. In the moving version one of the two angles can become obtuse. One advantage of geometrical description is that particular events and range of angles are readily suggested by the figures. For example we see in Fig 1 that, for the case of mirror initially moving toward the

source, $-1 < \beta < 0$, as the incident ray rises from 0 to become parallel to the left asymptote at the obtuse angle $i = i_{c_-} = \arccos(\beta)$ the reflected angle rises from 0 to the acute angle $r = \arccos(-\beta)$. The obtuse angles of incidence corresponds to H_- being to the left of F. If $i \geq i_{c_-}$ no reflection will occur. (To visualize an obtuse angle of incidence consider a ball crossing the path of a bus and moving in the same general direction as the bus; when the front fender of the bus strikes the ball.)

Similarly, in the case of a receding mirror, $0 < \beta < 1$, as the incidence angle increases from 0 to become parallel to the right asymptote at the acute angle $i = i_{c_+} = \arccos(\beta)$ the reflected angle rises from 0 to the obtuse angle $r = \arccos(-\beta)$. An obtuse angle of reflection occurs if H_+ is on the right of F'. If $i \geq i_{c_+}$ no reflection will occur. (To visualize an obtuse angle of reflection consider a ball rolling toward the back of a moving bus when it hits the back fender and continues to roll toward the bus.)

B. Spurious answers

The critical angles, i_{c_+} or i_{c_-}, are not flagged by (2). For example as i exceeds i_{c_+} the formula continues to predict a reflection angle, even though no reflection occurs. To explain, note that (2) remains invariant under simultaneous transformations $\beta \to -\beta$, $i \to \pi - i$, and $r \to \pi - r$. As i exceeds i_{c_+} for a receding mirror the reflected angle r that is produced by (2) is the supplementary angle of the reflection angle for the case of an initially approaching mirror and a supplementary angle of incidence. In other words, for a line drawn through F with angle i in (i_{c_+}, π) both H_- and H_+ are on the left branch. Point H_- is on the ray with incidence angle i and point H_+ is on the ray with incidence angle $\pi - i$.

C. Mirror moving faster than light

In the elliptic case, assuming a conventional mirror is involved, no regular reflection can occur since now light is not fast enough to separate from the mirror. However a hypothetical substance that functions as a "see-through reflector" may provide a model. To explain, consider the reflection of sound waves from a net (as in a tennis racket) that is moving faster than the speed of sound in air without creating air disturbance while still allowing reflection of sound waves that hit the net. Now, due to the net's porous nature, the reflected beam can form behind the net. We speculate that such see-through mirrors can be constructed by electronically switching a layer of atoms inside a certain crystal into a temporary mirror. As a layer is switched on and then off then the next

layer is switched on, in effect creating a moving mirror. The movement of such a mirror will not be a prohibitive physical movement, and without a barrier that will prevent the formation of the reflected beam on the opposite side of the mirror. Such a scenario will allow for the speed of mirror to be higher than speed of light in the crystal and for the reflected light to form behind the mirror.

---

[1] A. Einstein, Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Korper *Ann. Phys. (Leipzig)* **17** (1905) 891-921.
http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/andp.19053221004/pdf
https://www.fourmilab.ch/etexts/einstein/specrel/www/

[2] Aleksandar Gjurchinovski and Aleksandar Skeparovski, Einstein's mirror *Phys. Teach.* **46** (2008) 416–418.
http://scitation.aip.org/content/aapt/journal/tpt/46/7/10.1119/1.2981289
http://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0701222

[3] Z. K. Silagadze, Test problems in mechanics and special relativity
https://arxiv.org/pdf/physics/0605057.pdf

[4] G. B. M. Zerr, Biangular Coordinates *The American Mathematical Monthly* **17** (1910) 34-38.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/2972096?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents